

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/758,365 | 01/15/2004 | Dean G. Karahalios | 31132.141 | 5308 |
| 46333 7 | 590 06/20/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP | | REIMERS, ANNETTE R | | |
| 901 MAIN ST SUITE 3100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DALLAS, TX 75202 | | 3733 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 10/758,365 | KARAHALIOS, DEAN G. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Annette R. Reimers | 3733 | | |

| | Annette R. Reimers | 3733 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | ng date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo | nsideration and/or search (see NC w); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | jected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | <u>. </u> | • | , |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | t be entered a necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(8) | |
| | EDUARDO C. HO SUPERVISORY PATENT | BERT EXAMINER | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to the independent claims raise new issues that may require further search and/or consideration.

AN